



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Irademark Ullice
OR PATENTS
13-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/725,215	11/29/2000	Kazuo Sasaki	1405.1028/JDH	6349	
21171 7:	590 03/02/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			LLP VU, THONG H		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·		. /	
			DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004	, <i>4</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
		Application No.	- 1	Applicant(s)			
~		09/725,215		SASAKI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Thong H Vu		2142			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimun vill apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to bec	may a reply be tim n of thirty (30) days 6) MONTHS from to ome ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No.	<u>ovember 2000</u> .					
,	•—	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 November 2000</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted on drawing(s) be held in a ion is required if the dra	beyance. See awing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received ity documents have I (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application been receive	on No ed in this National St	age		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary				
3) Inform	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date			te atent Application (PTO-1	52)		

Art Unit: 2142

1. Claims 1-9 are pending.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-19 of U. S. Patent No. 6,564,244 B1 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Application:

(Claim 1) A status setting method in which a user terminal can send, receive, and display a user status and a character message by sharing one or more virtual spaces set up on a network comprising:

preparing a status table in which configurable user statuses are registered for each virtual space (i.e.: storing the status table);

Art Unit: 2142

obtaining the status table of a virtual space in which a user terminal participates every time the user terminal participates in the virtual space (i.e.: monitoring the status); setting a user status on each virtual space for the virtual space based on an obtained status table (i.e.: change the status based on a preference); and sending to, receiving from, and displaying for each virtual space the user status set for each virtual space (i.e.: notify to user).

Patent '244:

(Claim 1) For utilization by a communication system comprising user terminals connected to a network, wherein a plurality of the user terminals share at least one of a plurality of virtual spaces, establishing at least one chat network active for simultaneous bi-directional communication, a chat network search method comprising steps of:

storing at least one chat network search preference linked to at least one user terminal:

monitoring a status of the at least one <u>chat</u> network for changes according to predetermined parameters related to the at least one <u>chat</u> network search preference and, in response to every occurring <u>status</u> change,

storing <u>chat</u> network <u>status information</u> signaling a changed<u>-status chat</u> network; determining, based upon the stored chat network status information, whether a changed-status chat network meets the at least one chat network search preference linked to the at least one user terminal; and

notifying the at least one user of any changed status chat network meeting the chat network search preference linked to the at least one user terminal.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Art Unit: 2142

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kitahara et al [Kitahara 5,995,096] in view of Matsuda et al [Matsuda 6,577,328 B2]

3. As per claim 1, Kitahara discloses a status setting method in which a user terminal can send, receive, and display a user status and a character message by sharing one or more virtual spaces set up on a network (i.e.: conference window) comprising:

preparing a status table [Kitahara, tables 400, 410 Fig 3, col 6 lines 24-30]; obtaining the status table of a virtual space in which a user terminal participates every time the user terminal participates in the virtual space [Kitahara, a setting of the participant information table, col 6 line 61-col 7 line 8];

setting a user status on each virtual space for the virtual space based on an obtained status table [Kitahara, a setting is made to the conference information table, a setting of the participant information table, col 6 line 61-col 7 line 8]; and

sending to, receiving from, and displaying for each virtual space the user status set for each virtual space [Kitahara, preparing for the transmission and reception data, col 8 lines 53-58; col 11 lines 14-25]

Art Unit: 2142

However Kitahara does not detail the status table in which configurable user statuses are registered for each virtual space. A skilled artisan would motivation to improve the method for registering the status information to help user in configuration setup and found Matsuda teaching. Matsuda taught a program for controlling a shared virtual space providing a communication control table is registered including a status transition of the virtual life object for configuration [Matsuda col 18 lines 28-54]

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the status table in which configurable user statuses are registered as taught by Matsuda into the Kitahara's apparatus in order to utilize the status table. Doing so would provide a quick and simple process to implement the information associated with the growth process of virtual object on the sharing virtual space environment.

- 4. Claim 9 contains the similar limitations set forth of apparatus claim 1. Therefore, claim 9 is rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 1.
- 5. As per claim 2, Kitahara-Matsuda disclose the user status and a user attribute defining a configurable user statuses are correlatively registered in the status table [Matsuda col 18 lines 28-54].
- 6. As per claim 3, Kitahara-Matsuda disclose a common table in which prescribed user statuses are registered is previously prepared, and said common table is obtained

Art Unit: 2142

if no status table is prepared for a virtual space in which user terminals participate, and a user status on said virtual space is set for the virtual space based on an obtained common table [Matsuda col 18 lines 28-54].

- 7. As per claim 4, Kitahara-Matsuda disclose the setting of a user status by a user is accepted (i.e.: registered) [Matsuda col 18 lines 28-54].
- 8. As per claim 5, Kitahara-Matsuda disclose said user terminal can display a user status with a symbol (i.e.: a virtual object), the user status and the symbol are correlatively registered in a status table, and a status of another user sharing a virtual space is displayed with a symbol relating to the user status [Matsuda, col 17 lines 35-47].
- 9. As per claim 6, Kitahara-Matsuda disclose a list of user statuses registered in an obtained status table is displayed independently for each virtual space in which user terminals participate, selection of any user statuses on the list is accepted for each virtual space, and a user status is set for each virtual space [Matsuda col 13 line 65-col 14 line 7].
- 10. As per claim 7, Kitahara-Matsuda disclose a computer-readable recording medium having a status setting module [Kitahara, col 6 line 61-col 7 line 8]

Art Unit: 2142

- 11. As per claim 8, Kitahara-Matsuda disclose a transmission medium transmitting a status setting module [Kitahara, FTP module, col 11 line 50-col 12 line 5].
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thong Vu, whose telephone number is (703)-305-4643.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00AM- 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Jack Harvey*, can be reached at (703) 305-9705.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

After Final (703) 746-7238 Official: (703) 746-7239 Non-Official (703) 746-7240

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11,2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Thong Vu
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2142

